Tips for Improving Response Rates for Surveys of College Students

The following tips were developed by the University of Wisconsin Survey Center.¹

1. Provide sample members with a small, prepaid monetary incentive

A substantial literature, primarily involving surveys administered via the mail shows the effectiveness of prepaid, monetary incentives in increasing response rates (Church, 1993; Edwards et al., 2002; Singer & Ye, 2013).

- For a web-based survey that includes postal addresses, it is possible to provide a monetary incentive in a mailed contact (Dykema et al., 2013b).
- If it is not possible to deliver a prepaid, monetary incentive, offer a cash incentive (e.g., \$5 or \$10) to those completing the survey (Stevenson et al., 2011).
- Avoid lotteries which are not effective at increasing response rates (Singer & Ye, 2013; Stevenson et al., 2011).

2. Include a sufficient number of contacts with sample members

You will need to make decisions about the type, timing, and number of contacts you make with sample members.

- *Prenotification*. If time and budget allow, pre-notify sample members of the upcoming survey by contacting them beforehand, preferably using a mode other than the web, such as in a postal mailing (Crawford et al., 2004).
- *Invitation*. Sample members can be invited to participate in a web survey in an emailed invitation in which a hot-linked URL is embedded, or in a mailed invitation in which an URL is included on a postcard or in a letter that respondents manually type into a browser. When sample frames include both email and mailing addresses and budgets permit, we recommend first sending a postal letter, but also including the URL in the letter (to allow motivated respondents to participate immediately).
- Reminders.
 - o Send multiple emailed follow-up reminders (at least two) to sample members who fail to complete the web survey following the initial invitation.
 - Vary the type of appeal you use in these subsequent contact attempts in order to bring in sample members with different motivations for participating (e.g., appeal to the utility and value of the research initially, but make a personal appeal for help in a later contact).

3. Follow best practices for the content, format, and design of email and postal contacts

Research indicates that the content, format, and design of e-mail and postal contacts can have an effect on survey participation (Dillman et al., 2009; Kaplowitz et al., 2012), and the following guidelines are offered:

- Personalize salutations for invitations and follow-up requests.
- State the purpose and utility of the study clearly and briefly.
- Provide information about the sponsor of the research project and who to contact if more information is desired.

¹ Prepared by Jennifer Dykema and John Stevenson; June 5, 2015.

- Ensure confidentiality explicitly (or anonymity if appropriate).
- Include a hot-linked URL in e-mail correspondence and a manual-entry URL in mailed correspondence.
- Avoid terms used by spammers in the subject line and in body of e-mail (e.g., "opportunity" and "click").
- Avoid sending e-mail messages from a generic account.
- Include an inviting and appropriate subject line for email messages; avoid using the word "survey" (Edwards et al., 2009).

References

Church, A. H. (1993). Estimating the effect of incentives on mail survey response rates: A meta-analysis. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, *57*, 62-79.

Crawford, S. D., McCabe, S. E., Saltz, B., Boyd, C. J., Freisthler, B., & Paschall, M. J. (2004). Gaining Respondent Cooperation in College Web-based Alcohol Surveys: Findings from Experiments at Two Universities *American Association for Public Opinion Research*. May. (Reprinted from: May).

Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2014). *Internet, Phone, Mail, and Mixed-Mode Surveys: The Tailored Design Method, Fourth Edition*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Dykema, J., Stevenson, J., Klein, L., Kim, Y., & Day, B. (2013). Effects of E-Mailed Versus Mailed Invitations and Incentives on Response Rates, Data Quality, and Costs in a Web Survey of University Faculty. *Social Science Computer Review*, *31*(3), 359-370. doi: 10.1177/0894439312465254

Edwards, P., Roberts, I., Clarke, M., DiGuiseppi, C., Pratap, S., Wentz, R., & Kwan, I. (2002). Increasing response rates to postal questionnaires: systematic review. *BMJ*, 324(7347), 1183-1191. doi: 10.1136/bmj.324.7347.1183

Edwards, P. J., Roberts, I., Clarke, M. J., DiGuiseppi, C., Wentz, R., Kwan, I., . . . Pratap, S. (2009). Methods to Increase Response to Postal and Electronic Questionnaires. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 3*, 1-355. doi: 10.1002/14651858.MR000008.pub4

Kaplowitz, M. D., Lupi, F., Couper, M. P., & Thorp, L. (2012). The Effect of Invitation Design on Web Survey Response Rates. *Social Science Computer Review*, *30*(3), 339-349. doi: 10.1177/0894439311419084

Singer, E., & Ye, C. (2013). The Use and Effects of Incentives in Surveys. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 645*(1), 112-141. doi: 10.1177/0002716212458082

Stevenson, J., Dykema, J., Klein, L., Cyffka, K., & Goldrick-Rab, S. (2011). *Effects of Post-Incentives on Response Rates, Costs, and Response Quality in a Web Survey of College Students*. Paper presented

